

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

[Eagle crest]

**Priority Certificate
for the filing of a Patent Application**

File Reference: 10 2004 010 162.0

Filing date: 27 February 2004

Applicant/Proprietor: Degussa AG, 40474 Düsseldorf/DE

Title: Polymer powder with copolymer, use in a shaping process with unfocused introduction of energy, and moldings produced from this polymer powder

IPC: C 08 L, B 29 C, B 23 K

The attached documents are a correct and accurate reproduction of the original submission for this Application.

Munich, 22 April 2004

German Patent and Trademark Office

The President

[Seal of the German Patent
and Trademark Office]

pp

[signature]

Agurks

Polymer powder with copolymer, use in a shaping process with unfocused introduction of energy, and moldings produced from this polymer powder

5 The rapid production of prototypes is a task often required in very recent times. Particularly suitable processes are those whose operation is based on pulverulent materials and which produce the desired structures layer-by-layer via selective melting and
10 hardening. Support structures for overhangs and undercuts can be omitted here, because the powder bed surrounding the molten regions provides adequate support. Nor is there any need for subsequent operations to remove supports. These processes are also
15 suitable for short-run production.

The invention relates to a polymer powder based on thermoplastic random copolymers with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably
20 from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably on copolyamides with a DIN 53727 relative solution viscosity in m-cresol of from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7, or else on copolyesters, to the use of this powder in shaping processes, and also to moldings
25 produced via a layer-by-layer process by which regions of a powder layer are selectively melted via introduction of electromagnetic energy, using this powder. Once the previously molten regions have been cooled and hardened, the molding can be removed from
30 the powder bed.

The selectivity of these layer-by-layer processes can be achieved, by way of example and with no intention of restricting the invention thereto, by applying
35 susceptors, absorbers, or inhibitors, or via masks. The selectivity does not arise by way of the introduction of the electromagnetic energy.

A number of processes are described below by which inventive moldings can be produced from the inventive

powder, but there is no intention to restrict the invention thereto.

Processes with good suitability are the SIB process as
5 described in WO 01/38061, or a process described in EP
1 015 214. Both processes operate with full-surface
infrared heating to melt the powder. The selectivity of
melting is achieved in the first by applying an
inhibitor and in the second via a mask. DE 103 11 438
10 describes another process. In this, the energy needed
to melt the powder particles is introduced via a
microwave generator, and the selectivity is achieved
via application of a susceptor.

15 For the rapid prototyping or rapid manufacturing process
(RP process or RM process) mentioned use may be made of
pulverulent substrates, in particular polymers,
preferably selected from polyester, polyvinyl chloride,
polyacetal, polypropylene, polyethylene, polystyrene,
20 polycarbonate, poly(N-methylmethacrylimides) (PMMI),
polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), ionomer, polyamide.

DE 44 33 118 considers polymer blends exposed to
electromagnetic energy. However, a blend is a mixture
25 prepared in the melt from two or more polymers under
defined temperature conditions and shear conditions,
and is usually processed to give pellets. Here, the
individual polymer chains are mixed with one another
("intermolecularly"), but no recombination of the
30 starting components takes place within a chain. (For an
example of a definition see Sächting
Kunststofftaschenbuch [Plastics Handbook], 24th
edition, pp 7 et seq.).

35 A processing disadvantage is that in order to avoid
what is known as curl the temperature in the
construction space or construction chamber has to be
kept with maximum uniformity at a level just below the

melting point of the polymeric material. In the case of amorphous polymers, this means a temperature just below the glass transition temperature, and in the case of semicrystalline polymers this means a temperature just below the crystallite melting point. Curl means distortion of the region after melting, the result being at least some protrusion out of the construction plane. There is a resultant risk that when the next powder layer is applied, for example via a doctor or a roller, the protruding regions may be shifted or even entirely broken away. The consequence of this for the process is that the overall construction space temperature has to be kept at a relatively high level, and that the volume change brought about via cooling and via crystallization of the moldings produced by these processes is considerable. Another important factor is that the period required for cooling is significant specifically for "rapid" processes.

Another disadvantage of the semicrystalline thermoplastics in many instances is their crystallinity, and the volume change caused thereby during cooling from the melt. Although it is possible to use very complicated and precise temperature control to achieve a substantial equalization of the volume change due to the crystallinity of an individual layer, the volume change due to crystallization in three-dimensional moldings of any desired structure is not uniform throughout the molding. By way of example, the formation of crystalline structures is dependent on the cooling rate of the molding, and at locations of different thickness or at angled locations this rate differs from that at other locations within the molding.

A disadvantage of amorphous thermoplastics is high viscosity, permitting coalescence only markedly above the melting point or the glass transition temperature.

Moldings produced by the above processes using amorphous thermoplastics are therefore often relatively porous; the process merely forms sinter necks, and the individual powder particles remain discernible within the molding. However, if the amount of energy introduced is increased in order to reduce viscosity there is the additional problem of precision of shape; by way of example, the contours of the molding lose sharpness as a result of heat conducted from the melting regions into the surrounding regions.

Another disadvantage is that a single material cannot always meet other diverse requirements, for example viscosity, thermal stability, shrinkage, strength, impact resistance, and processability. The use of powder mixtures for this purpose is well known, but has other associated disadvantages. By way of example, the constancy of the mixtures has to be ensured through preparation, processing, and, where appropriate, recycling. If the components have different melting points, the scope for adjusting the mixture simply as required by the desired properties of the molding is very restricted. In practice it has been found that the lower melting point then dominates during processing, the result being that the higher-melting component does not melt and merely acts as a filler, so that sometimes its desired properties are ineffective or only partially effective.

It was therefore an object of the present invention to provide a polymer powder which can be more versatile in achieving tailored properties in relation to processing, but also in relation to the desired properties of the molding. The process here is a powder-based layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective layer are selectively melted via the unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy, and after cooling bond to give the desired molding, the

selectivity being achieved here, by way of example, by way of the application of susceptors or of absorbers or of inhibitors, or via masks.

5 Surprisingly, it has now been found, as described in the claims, that the use of thermoplastic random copolymers with an MFR value of from 12 to 1 g/10 min, preferably from 10 to 1 g/10 min, can produce polymer
10 powders from which it is possible, via a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective layer are selectively melted via introduction of electromagnetic energy, to produce moldings which have advantages in relation to processability, or which combine different properties of moldings in one component.

15

The invention therefore provides a polymer powder for processing in a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective layer are selectively melted via introduction of electromagnetic energy, which comprises
20 at least one thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably a copolyamide with a DIN 53727 relative solution viscosity in m-cresol of from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably
25 from 1.6 to 1.7; particular preference is given to a copolyamide containing at least one of the units from the group of the lactams, the diamines/dicarboxylic salts, and/or the aminocarboxylic acids. The inventive powders very particularly preferably contain monomer
30 units from the group composed of laurolactam, caprolactam, aminoundecanoic acid, and also containing approximately equimolar amounts of the dicarboxylic acids adipic acid, sorbic acid, azelaic acid, sebacic acid, dodecanedioic acid, brassylic acid,
35 tetradecanedioic acid, pentadecanedioic acid, octadecanedioic acid, terephthalic acid, isophthalic acid, and of the diamines hexamethylenediamine, 2-methylpentamethylenediamine, 2,2,4-

trimethylhexamethylenediamine, 2,4,4-
trimethylhexamethylenediamine, isophoronediamine,
piperazine, bis(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane, or of the
nylon salts formed therefrom.

5

The present invention also provides moldings produced
via a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the
respective powder layer are selectively melted via
unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy, the
10 selectivity being achieved, by way of example, by way
of masks, or via the application of susceptors, of
inhibitors, or of absorbers, where the moldings
comprise at least one thermoplastic random copolymer
with an MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min,
15 preferably from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably a
copolyamide with a solution viscosity of from 1.55 to
1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7. The inventive moldings
particularly preferably comprise a copolyamide
containing at least one of the units from the group of
20 the lactams, the diamine/dicarboxylic acid salts,
and/or the aminocarboxylic acids. The inventive
moldings very particularly preferably comprise
copolyamides having monomer units from the group
composed of laurolactam, caprolactam, aminoundecanoic
25 acid, and also containing approximately equimolar
amounts of the dicarboxylic acids adipic acid, sorbic
acid, azelaic acid, sebacic acid, dodecanedioic acid,
brassylic acid, tetradecanedioic acid, pentadecanedioic
acid, octadecanedioic acid, terephthalic acid,
30 isophthalic acid, and of the diamines
hexamethylenediamine, 2-methylpentamethylenediamine,
2,2,4-trimethylhexamethylenediamine, 2,4,4-trimethyl-
hexamethylenediamine, isophoronediamine, piperazine,
bis(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane, or of the nylon salts
35 formed therefrom.

The inventive polymer powder has the advantage that,
via a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the

respective layer are selectively melted, it can produce moldings at temperatures markedly lower than for moldings composed of conventional polymer powders. The result is faster production of moldings by one of the processes described, and improved process reliability.

The moldings produced here from the inventive powder have good mechanical properties similar to those of moldings produced from conventional powder. Although they are mostly softer than moldings composed of the non-inventive PA12 polymer powder usually used during laser sintering, they often compensate for this with much higher tensile strain at break, permitting, for example, very efficient production of snap-action hooks. In order to achieve the mechanical properties, it is useful for the MFR value of the inventive powder to be from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min. In the case of the preferred copolyamide, a solution viscosity of from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7, gives the desired mechanical properties. If the MFR value of the inventive powder is higher than stated, or, respectively, the solution viscosity values are lower than stated, the mechanical properties of the moldings constructed by one of the inventive processes using the powder become markedly poorer.

Another advantage of the inventive powder is that it can be processed effectively using the inventive processes. If the solution viscosity values for the inventive powder are lower than stated or, respectively, the MFR value is higher than stated, the reproducibility of the construction process becomes markedly poorer. In particular, it is likely that powder particles will stick to the application device, such as a roller or a doctor, after melting of the intended regions of a sequence of a few layers. If the values for the solution viscosity of the

inventive powder are higher than stated in the specific case of the copolyamide, the mechanical properties again become markedly poorer, because it is no longer certain that the individual polymer particles will
5 coalesce on melting to form the molding.

Surprisingly, it was also found that the processing latitude, i.e. a temperature difference between the "non-occurrence" of curl and full-surface melting of
10 the powder not intended for melting, is mostly greater than when using conventional powders. Another advantage is the low shrinkage of the moldings produced using inventive powders, in comparison with moldings composed of semicrystalline homopolyamides, both produced by a
15 layer-by-layer shaping process where regions of the respective powder layer are selectively melted via introduction of electromagnetic energy. The inventive powder is particularly preferably used in processes which are not based on focusing of the energy
20 introduced via a laser. The speed advantage of simultaneous energy introduction over all of the selected regions has the associated disadvantage that thermal conductivity becomes more important. At locations with poor heat dissipation, for example
25 cutouts, it is quite likely that further particles will cake onto the material, thus causing deviation from the desired profile. The lower processing temperature of the inventive powders is found here to be an advantage because the amount of energy which has to be introduced
30 is smaller. The temperature difference between the regions to be melted and their surroundings can therefore be kept smaller.

The inventive copolymer powder is described below, but
35 there is no intention that the invention be restricted thereto.

A feature of the inventive copolymer powder for

processing in a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective powder layer are selectively melted via unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy is that the powder comprises at least one thermoplastic random copolymer with an MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, prepared from at least two monomer units. The preparation process may in the simplest case be a free-radical, or an anionic, or a cationic copolymerization process, or may be a Ziegler-Natta copolymerization process. There is a large number of suitable monomer units, such as ethene and vinyl acetate, acrylonitrile and styrene, tetrafluorethene and propene, ethene and 1-butene, trioxane and ethylene oxide, styrene and butadiene, or else a combination of three monomer units composed of acrylonitrile, styrene, and butadiene, known as ABS. The monomer units may be aliphatic or aromatic, and the resultant copolymer may be linear or branched. The invention uses at least one unit which at least is present in different isomeric forms, or two units, or three (ternary systems) or more units. The copolymers are mostly amorphous.

Particular preference is given to copolyamides the crystallinity of which can be controlled by way of the composition of the monomer units. The preparation process uses diamine/dicarboxylic acid salts and/or aminocarboxylic acids or lactams. Examples of the monomer units used are aminoundecanic acid, or else approximately equimolar amounts of the dicarboxylic acids adipic acid, sorbic acid, azelaic acid, sebacic acid, dodecanedioic acid, brassylic acid, tetradecanedioic acid, pentadecanedioic acid, octadecanedioic acid, terephthalic acid, isophthalic acid, and of the diamines hexamethylenediamine, 2-methylpentamethylenediamine, 2,2,4-trimethylhexamethylenediamine, 2,4,4-trimethylhexamethylenediamine, isophoronediamine,

piperazine, bis(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane, or of the nylon salts formed therefrom.

In particular, combinations composed of caprolactam, lauro lactam and AH salt are known, as are also combinations composed of caprolactam, lauro lactam and DH salts, or caprolactam and lauro lactam. These copolyamides in particular feature a low melting point.

10 Besides aliphatic dicarboxylic acids, use is made of aromatic dicarboxylic acids, which generally contribute to higher glass transition temperatures. Furthermore, low-symmetry comonomers, in particular trimethylhexamethylenediamine (TMD, isomer mixture),
15 isophoronediamine (IPD), bis-(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane (PACM, isomer mixture) reduce the crystallinity - the result in the extreme case being a completely amorphous copolyamide - giving higher dimensional accuracy and sometimes increased translucency of the molding.
20 Suitable other comonomers and rules for their selection are known to the person skilled in the art and are described by way of example in J.G. Dolden, Polymer (1976, 17), pp. 875-892.

25 Particular preference is given to random thermoplastic copolyamides with a solution viscosity of from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7, attained via thermal polycondensation of monomer mixtures composed of diamine/dicarboxylic acid salts and/or of
30 aminocarboxylic acids or of lactams. The method is similar to that for the homopolyamides, but of course the respective physico-chemical properties have to be taken into account, for example water-solubility of the monomers, melting point and thermal stability of the
35 polymers. It is sufficient here for one monomer to be present in the form an isomer mixture.

Alternating copolyamides are preferably produced via

solution polycondensation under mild conditions. However, in the melt transamidation reactions convert them into random copolyamides.

- 5 Block copolymers composed of various polyamides are generally obtained in two stages, first producing a prepolymer and then mixing with the second component. The resultant structures of the block copolymers are not stable, however, and at higher temperatures revert
10 to random distribution with regard to the arrangement of the monomer units.

Graft copolymers can be obtained via reaction of previously formed polymers with other monomers. The
15 graft reaction is initiated ionically or by a free-radical route on the NH groups along the polymer chain. An example is the reaction of PA6 with ethylene oxide to give hydrophilic to water-soluble products.

- 20 The DIN 53727 solution viscosity of the inventive copolyamides in 0.5% strength m-cresol solution is from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7. The preparation of copolyamides is described by way of example in DE 32 48 776, and is known to the person
25 skilled in the art.

The MFR value is determined to ISO 1133. The conditions, namely load and temperature, are specified as appropriate as a function of the material in the
30 standards for molding compositions, e.g. in ISO 2580-1 for ABS. The normal method is to test a semicrystalline copolyamide at a relatively low temperature, for example 160°C, and a completely amorphous copolyamide at a higher temperature, for example 230°C. A typical
35 weight here is 2.16 kg, but this value, too, is to be specified in accordance with the appropriate standards for molding compositions, as a function of the material.

Other preferred copolymers are copolyesters. Examples of the monomer units are adipic acid, isophthalic acid, dimethyl terephthalate, 1,4-butanediol, 1,6-hexandiol, 5 polyethylene glycol.

The pellets prepared and comprising thermoplastic random copolymer are then ground at low temperatures, for example at -30°C under nitrogen in an impact mill 10 or pinned-disk mill, to give pulverulent particles. The material should be subjected to at least one precautionary sieving to remove the very coarse particles. A subsequent fractionation is usually useful. Inventive powders have the grain size range 15 from 1 to 150 microns, preferably from 1 to 120 microns. The distribution of the grains here remains relatively broad. Typical values for the D90/D10 range are from 1:2 to 1:15, preferably from 1:3 to 1:5. Mechanical post-treatment can also be useful, 20 for example in a high-speed mixer, in order to round the sharp-edged particles produced during the grinding process, and thus improve capability for applying relatively thin layers.

25 The inventive polymer powder preferably comprises at least one thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, and with an average particle size of from 10 to 250 μm , preferably 30 from 45 to 150 μm and particularly preferably from 50 to 125 μm .

Inventive copolyamide powders or copolyester powders are marketed, for example with the tradename Vestamelt 35 by Degussa.

Inventive copolymer powder may also comprise auxiliaries and/or filler and/or other organic or

inorganic pigments. These auxiliaries may, by way of example, be flow aids, e.g. precipitated and/or flumed silicas. By way of example, precipitated silicas are supplied with the product name Aerosil by Degussa AG, with various specifications. Inventive copolymer powder preferably comprises less than 3% by weight, preferably from 0.001 to 2% by weight and very particularly preferably from 0.05 to 1% by weight, of these auxiliaries, based on the entirety of the polymers present. By way of example, the fillers may be glass particles, metal particles or ceramic particles, e.g. glass beads, steel shot or granulated metal or foreign pigments, e.g. transition metal oxides. By way of example, the pigments may be titanium dioxide particles based on rutile or anatase, or carbon black particles.

The median size of these filler particles is preferably smaller than or approximately equal to the size of the particles of the copolymers. The extent to which the median particle size d_{50} of the fillers is less than the median particle size d_{50} of the copolymers is preferably not more than 20%, preferably not more than 15%, and very particularly preferably no more than 5%. A particular limitation on the particle size is given by the permissible overall height or, respectively, layer thickness in the rapid prototyping/rapid manufacturing system.

Inventive copolymer preferably comprises less than 75% by weight, with preference from 0.001 to 70% by weight, with particular preference from 0.05 to 50% by weight, and with very particular preference from 0.5 to 25% by weight, of these fillers, based on the entirety of the copolymers present.

35

If the stated maximum limits for auxiliaries and/or fillers are exceeded the result, depending on the filler or auxiliary used, can be marked impairment of

the mechanical properties of moldings produced by means of these copolymer powders.

It is also possible to mix conventional polymer powders
5 with inventive copolymer powders. This method can produce polymer powders with another combination of mechanical properties and processing latitude. The process for preparing these mixtures may be found in DE 34 41 708, for example.

10

To improve melt flow during the production of the moldings, use may be made of a flow promoter, such as metal soaps, preferably the alkali metal or alkaline earth metal salts of the underlying
15 alkanemonocarboxylic acids or dimer acids, may be added to the precipitated or low-temperature-ground powder. The metal soap particles may be incorporated into the copolymer particles, or else mixtures of fine-particle metal soap particles and copolymer particles may be
20 used.

The amounts used of the metal soaps are from 0.01 to 30% by weight, preferably from 0.5 to 15% by weight, based on the entirety of the copolymers, preferably
25 copolyamides, present in the powder. The metal soaps used preferably comprise the sodium or calcium salts of the underlying alkanemonocarboxylic acids or dimer acids. Examples of commercially available products are Licomont NaV 101 or Licomont CaV 102 from Clariant.

30

To improve the processability of the polymer powder or for its further modification, inorganic foreign pigments may be added to the powder, examples being transition metal oxides, stabilizers, e.g. phenols, in
35 particular sterically hindered phenols, flow promoters and flow agents, e.g. fumed silicas, or else filler particles. The amount of these substances added to the polymer, based on the total weight of polymers in the

copolymer powder, preferably complies with the concentration stated for fillers and/or auxiliaries for the inventive copolymer powders.

- 5 The present invention also provides processes for producing moldings via layer-by-layer processes in which regions are selectively melted via unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy, using inventive polymer powders which comprise at least one
- 10 thermoplastic random copolymer with an MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably a copolyamide with a solution viscosity of from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7. The inventive powder
- 15 particularly preferably comprises copolyamides containing at least one of the units from the group of the lactams, of the diamine/dicarboxylic acid salts and/or of the aminocarboxylic acids. The powders very particularly preferably used in these processes are
- 20 those which comprise copolyamides which contain monomer units from the group composed of laurolactam, caprolactam, aminoundecanoic acid, and also containing approximately equimolar amounts of the dicarboxylic acids adipic acid, sorbic acid, azelaic acid, sebacic
- 25 acid, dodecanedioic acid, brassylic acid, tetradecanedioic acid, pentadecanedioic acid, octadecanedioic acid, terephthalic acid, isophthalic acid, and of the diamines hexamethylenediamine, 2-methylpentamethylenediamine, 2,2,4-
- 30 trimethylhexamethylenediamine, 2,4,4-trimethylhexamethylenediamine, isophoronediamine, piperazine, bis(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane, or of the nylon salts formed therefrom.
- 35 The energy is introduced in unfocused form via electromagnetic radiation, for example simultaneously over the entire layer, or via unfocused traverse over parts or all of the powder layer, and the selectivity

is achieved, by way of example, via masks, or via application of inhibitors, of absorbers, or of susceptors. Once all of the layers have been cooled, the inventive molding can be removed. The unmelted powder can be reused in the next construction process, where appropriate in a blend with virgin powder. The polymer powder is processed at a construction chamber temperature of from 80 to 160°C, preferably from 85 to 120°C.

10

The following examples of these processes serve for illustration, but with no intention that the invention be restricted thereto.

Processes with good suitability are the SIB process as described in WO 01/38061, or the process described in EP 1 015 214. Both processes operate with full-surface infrared heating to melt the powder. In the first, the selectivity of the melting process is achieved via the application of an inhibitor, and in the second process it is achieved via a mask. DE 103 11 438 describes another process. In this, the energy needed for the fusion process is introduced via a microwave generator, and the selectivity is achieved via application of a susceptor.

25

A feature of the inventive moldings produced via a layer-by-layer process in which regions are selectively melted via unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy is that they comprise at least one random thermoplastic copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably from 10 g/10 min, to 1 g/10 min. The inventive moldings preferably comprise at least one copolyamide with a DIN 53727 solution viscosity in m-cresol of from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7. Inventive moldings very particularly preferably comprise at least one copolyamide containing at least one of the units from

35

the group of the lactams, of the diamine/dicarboxylic acid salts and/or of the aminocarboxylic acid. The inventive moldings very particularly preferably comprise at least one copolyamide composed of monomer
5 units from the group composed of laurolactam, caprolactam, aminoundecanoic acid, and also containing approximately equimolar amounts of the dicarboxylic acids adipic acid, sorbic acid, azelaic acid, sebacic acid, dodecanedioic acid, brassylic acid,
10 tetradecanedioic acid, pentadecanedioic acid, octadecanedioic acid, terephthalic acid, isophthalic acid, and of the diamines hexamethylenediamine, 2-methylpentamethylenediamine, 2,2,4-trimethylhexamethylenediamine, 2,4,4-trimethyl-
15 hexamethylenediamine, isophoronediamine, piperazine, bis(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane, or of the nylon salts formed therefrom.

The moldings may also comprise fillers and/or
20 auxiliaries, e.g. heat stabilizers, e.g. sterically hindered phenol derivatives. Examples of fillers are glass particles, ceramic particles and also metal particles, e.g. iron spheres, or corresponding hollow spheres. The inventive moldings preferably comprise
25 glass particles, very particularly preferably glass beads. Inventive moldings preferably comprise less than 3% by weight, preferably from 0.001 to 2% by weight, and very particularly preferably from 0.05 to 1% by weight, of these auxiliaries, based on the entirety of
30 the polymers present. Inventive moldings also preferably comprise less than 75% by weight, preferably from 0.001 to 70% by weight, particularly preferably from 0.05 to 50% by weight, and very particularly preferably from 0.5 to 25% by weight, of these fillers,
35 based on the entirety of the polymers present.

The examples below are intended to describe the inventive polymer powder which comprises at least one

thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 g/10 min to 1 g/min, preferably from 10 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min, preferably copolyamide powder with a DIN 53727 solution viscosity of from 1.55 to 1.9, preferably from 1.6 to 1.7, and to describe their use, without restricting the invention to the examples.

The laser scattering values measured were obtained using the Malvern Mastersizer S, version 2.18.

Example 1: Reprecipitation of nylon-12 (PA 12), non-inventive

400 kg of unregulated PA 12 prepared by hydrolytic polymerization and having a relative solution viscosity of 1.62 and an end group content of 75 mmol/kg of COOH and 69 mmol/kg of NH₂ are heated to 145°C with 2500 l of ethanol denatured with 2-butanone and 1% water content within a period of 5 hours in a 3 m³ stirred tank (a = 160 cm), and kept at this temperature for 1 hour with stirring (blade stirrer, a = 80 cm, rotation rate = 49 rpm). The jacket temperature is then reduced to 124°C, and the internal temperature is brought to 125°C using a cooling rate of 25 K/h with the same stirrer rotation rate, while ethanol is continuously removed by distillation. From now on, using the same cooling rate, the jacket temperature is kept below the internal temperature by from 2 K to 3 K. The internal temperature is brought to 117°C, at the same cooling rate, and then kept constant for 60 minutes. The internal temperature is then brought to 111°C, at a cooling rate of 40 K/h with further removal of material by distillation. At this temperature precipitation begins, detectable via heat generation. The distillation rate is increased to an extent that keeps the internal temperature at not above 111.3°C. After 25 minutes, the internal temperature falls, indicating the end of the precipitation process. The

temperature of the suspension is brought to 45°C via further removal of material by distillation and cooling by way of the jacket, and then the suspension is transferred to a paddle dryer. The ethanol is distilled
5 off from the mixture at 70°C/400 mbar, and the residue is then further dried for 3 hours at 20 mbar/86°C. This gives a precipitated PA 12 with a median grain diameter of 55 µm. The bulk density was 435 g/l.

10 Example 2

A powder composed of a random copolyamide composed of 40 parts of laurolactam, 30 parts of caprolactam, and 30 parts of equimolar mixture composed of dodecandioic acid and hexamethylenediamine, obtained via hydrolytic
15 polycondensation, was produced via low-temperature grinding followed by fractionation. The powder thus obtained was treated with 0.1 part of Aerosil 200 in a Henschel mixer. The solution viscosity is 1.7. The MFR value was determined as 4 g/10 min at 160°C/2.16 kg.
20 The bulk density is 491 g/l. The distribution of grains was determined as follows: $d_{10} = 17 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{50} = 62 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{90} = 112 \mu\text{m}$.

Example 3

25 A powder composed of a random copolyamide composed of 33 parts of laurolactam, 33 parts of caprolactam, and 33 parts of equimolar mixture composed of adipic acid and hexamethylenediamine, obtained via hydrolytic polycondensation, was produced via low-temperature
30 grinding followed by fractionation. The powder thus obtained was treated with 0.1 part of Aerosil 200 in a Henschel mixer. The solution viscosity is 1.7. The MFR value was determined as 6 g/10 min at 160°C/2.16 kg. The bulk density is 475 g/l. The distribution of grains
35 was determined as follows: $d_{10} = 11 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{50} = 65 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{90} = 105 \mu\text{m}$.

Example 4

A powder composed of a random copolyamide composed of 50 parts of laurolactam, 20 parts of caprolactam, and 30 parts of equimolar mixture composed of dodecandioic acid and hexamethylenediamine, obtained via hydrolytic polycondensation, was produced via low-temperature grinding followed by fractionation. The powder thus obtained was treated with 0.1 part of Aerosil R812 in a Henschel mixer. The solution viscosity is 1.55. The MFR value was determined as 12 g/10 min at 160°C/2.16 kg. The bulk density is 458 g/l. The distribution of grains was determined as follows: $d_{10} = 13 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{50} = 66 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{90} = 111 \mu\text{m}$.

Example 5

A powder composed of a random copolyamide composed of 60 parts of laurolactam, 25 parts of caprolactam, and 15 parts of equimolar mixture composed of adipic acid and hexamethylenediamine, obtained via hydrolytic polycondensation, was produced via low-temperature grinding followed by fractionation. The powder thus obtained was treated with 0.1 part of Aerosil 200 in a Henschel mixer. The solution viscosity is 1.6. The MFR value was determined as 9 g/10 min at 160°C/2.16 kg. The bulk density is 462 g/l. The distribution of grains was determined as follows: $d_{10} = 18 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{50} = 75 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{90} = 112 \mu\text{m}$.

Example 6

A powder composed of a random copolyamide composed of 15 parts of laurolactam and 85 parts of an equimolar mixture composed of dodecanedioic acid and isophoronediamine, obtained via hydrolytic polycondensation, was produced via low-temperature grinding followed by fractionation. The powder thus obtained was treated with 0.05 part of Aerosil 200 in a Henschel mixer. The solution viscosity is 1.7. The MFR value was determined as 5 g/10 min at 230°C/2.16 kg.

The bulk density is 458 g/l. The distribution of grains was determined as follows: $d_{10} = 12 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{50} = 56 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{90} = 105 \mu\text{m}$.

5 Example 7

A powder composed of a random copolyester composed of 100 parts of butanediol, 45 parts of terephthalic acid and 55 parts of isophthalic acid, obtained via hydrolytic polycondensation, was produced via low-
10 temperature grinding followed by fractionation. The powder thus obtained was treated with 0.2 part of Aerosil 200 in a Henschel mixer. The MFR value was determined as 12 g/10 min at 160°C/2.16 kg. The bulk density is 459 g/l. The distribution of grains was
15 determined as follows: $d_{10} = 10 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{50} = 61 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{90} = 119 \mu\text{m}$.

Example 8

A powder composed of a random copolyester composed of
20 100 parts of butanediol, 11 parts of polyethylene glycol, 42 parts of terephthalic acid and 58 parts of isophthalic acid, obtained via hydrolytic polycondensation, was produced via low-temperature grinding followed by fractionation. The powder thus
25 obtained was treated with 0.1 part of Aerosil 200 in a Henschel mixer. The bulk density is 471 g/l. The MFR value was determined as 10 g/10 min at 160°C/2.16 kg. The distribution of grains was determined as follows:
 $d_{10} = 17 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{50} = 63 \mu\text{m}$, $d_{90} = 122 \mu\text{m}$.

30

A concrete mixer is used to prepare the mixture of powder from examples 1 and 5, and also the mixture of powder from example 6 with glass beads. The glass beads used comprised Spheriglass A glass with a coating from
35 Potters with a diameter of 35 μm .

Further processing and test

An open-topped box measuring 10 x 10 cm was provided

with a base which can be moved by way of a spindle. A heating tape was wound around the box and was set to 90°C during the experiments. The base was moved to a position half a centimeter from the upper edge; the remaining space was filled with powder, which was smoothed using a metal plate. A metal frame of thickness 1 mm was then placed on the edge of the box, and above this was placed a metal plate with a relatively small round aperture, its distance from the powder layer being 1 mm. The powder layer within the circular aperture was melted using a radiant heater with power rating 1000 W from AKO, which was moved downward toward the experimental arrangement until the separation was 2 cm, for two seconds. The next steps, turning of the spindle to lower the base by 0.2 mm, and application of the next powder layer, and then again lowering the radiant heater to melt the powder, were repeated a number of times. The intention was to attain a disk after cooling of the experimental arrangement.

Table 1: Results of the experiments of the examples

Example	Melting point (DSC) °C	Comment
Example 1 (non-inventive)	187	Markedly more energy introduction required than in the other examples
Example 2	112	Good edge sharpness, almost no curl
Example 3	115	Good edge sharpness, almost no curl
Example 4	113	Good edge sharpness, almost no curl, slight adhesion tendency after a plurality of layers
Example 5	123	Good edge sharpness, almost no curl
75% of powder from example 5 and 25% of powder from example 1	n.d.	Good edge sharpness, no curl
Example 6	120	Good edge sharpness, almost no curl
Example 7	114	Good edge sharpness, almost no curl
Example 8	110	Good edge sharpness, almost no curl, slight adhesion tendency after a plurality of layers
80% of powder from example 6 and 20% of glass beads	n.d.	Good edge sharpness, no curl

The examples very clearly show that inventive polymer powders can be processed very effectively in an inventive process.

- 5 Disks, with some relatively sharp edges, could be obtained in all of the examples using inventive powder. In contrast, the non-inventive powder of example 1 exhibited curl which was too marked to permit sintering of more than one layer. The non-inventive powder of
10 example 1 also had to be exposed to the radiant heater for at least 5 seconds in order for any melting at all to occur. The single layer exhibited marked cakeing beyond the desired profile. Shortening the exposure
15 time while at the same time reducing the distance from the radiant heater improved the profile sharpness, and a plaque could likewise be produced but the quality of the component remained below that of the inventive examples.
- 20 The powders of examples 4 and 8 exhibited slight adhesion tendency on smoothing of the newly applied powder, as the duration of the experiment increased. However, they are also at the lower limit for solution viscosity and, respectively, the upper limit for MFR
25 value. The mixtures of 75% of powder of example 5 with 25% of powder of example 1, and also 80% of powder of example 6 with 20% of glass beads had very advantageous behavior with respect to tendency to curl.

What is claimed is:

1. A polymer powder for use in a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective pulverulent
5 layer are selectively melted via unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy,
which
comprises at least one thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 to 1 g/10 min.
- 10 2. The polymer powder as claimed in claim 1,
which
comprises at least one thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 10 to 1 g/10 min.
- 15 3. The polymer powder as claimed in one of the preceding claims,
which
comprises at least one thermoplastic random copolymer
20 with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 to 1 g/10 min,
the selectivity being achieved via application of susceptors or of absorbers, or via masks.
- 25 4. The polymer powder as claimed in one of the preceding claims,
which
comprises at least one thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 10 to 1 g/10 min,
the selectivity being achieved via application of
30 susceptors or of absorbers, or via masks.
5. The polymer powder as claimed in any of the preceding claims,
which
35 comprises at least one thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 to 1 g/10 min,
the selectivity being achieved via application of inhibitors.

6. The polymer powder as claimed in at least one of claims 1 to 5,
which
5 comprises at least one copolyester.
7. The polymer powder as claimed in claim 6,
which
comprises at least one copolyester containing at least
10 one of the monomer units from the group of adipic acid, isophthalic acid, dimethyl phthalate, 1,4-butanediol, 1,6-hexanediol, polyethylene glycol.
8. The polymer powder as claimed in at least one of
15 claims 1 to 5,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide.
9. The polymer powder as claimed in claim 8,
20 which
comprises at least one copolyamide containing at least one of the units from the group of the lactams, the diamine/dicarboxylic acid salts, and/or the aminocarboxylic acids.
- 25 10. The polymer powder as claimed in claim 8 or 9,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide containing at least one of the units from the group of laurolactam, caprolactam, aminoundecanoic acid, and also containing
30 approximately equimolar amounts of the dicarboxylic acids adipic acid, sorbic acid, azelaic acid, sebacic acid, dodecanedioic acid, brassylic acid, tetradecanedioic acid, pentadecanedioic acid,
35 octadecanedioic acid, terephthalic acid, isophthalic acid, and of the diamines hexamethylenediamine, 2-methylpentamethylenediamine, 2,2,4-trimethylhexamethylenediamine, 2,4,4-tri-

methylhexamethylenediamine, isophoronediamine,
piperazine, bis(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane, or of the
nylon salts formed therefrom.

5 11. The polymer powder as claimed in any of claims 8
to 10,
which

comprises at least one copolyamide containing
caprolactam, lauro lactam, and AH salt.

10

12. The polymer powder as claimed in any of claims 8
to 10,
which

comprises at least one copolyamide containing
15 caprolactam, lauro lactam, and DH salt.

13. The polymer powder as claimed in any of claims 8
to 10,
which

20 comprises at least one copolyamide containing
caprolactam and lauro lactam.

14. The polymer powder as claimed in any of claims 8
to 13,

25 which

comprises at least one copolyamide, the DIN 53727
relative solution viscosity in m-cresol being from 1.55
to 1.9.

30 15. The polymer powder as claimed in at least one of
claims 8 to 13,

which

comprises at least one copolyamide, the DIN 53727
relative solution viscosity in m-cresol being from 1.6

35 to 1.7.

16. The polymer powder as claimed in at least one of
claims 1 to 15,

which
comprises auxiliaries and/or filler and/or pigments.

17. The polymer powder as claimed in claim 16,
5 which
comprises flow aids as auxiliary.

18. The polymer powder as claimed in claim 16,
which
10 comprises glass particles as filler.

19. The polymer powder as claimed in claim 16,
which
comprises metal soaps as auxiliary.

15
20. A process for producing moldings via a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective polymer powder layer are selectively melted via unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy, using
20 powder as claimed in at least one of claims 1 to 19.

21. A process for producing moldings via a layer-by-layer process, in which regions of the respective polymer powder layer are selectively melted, the
25 selectivity being achieved via masks, using powder as claimed in at least one of claims 1 to 19.

22. A process for producing moldings via a layer-by-layer process, in which regions of the respective
30 polymer powder layer are selectively melted, the selectivity being achieved via the application of inhibitors, using powder as claimed in at least one of claims 1 to 19.

35 23. A process for producing moldings via a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective polymer powder layer are selectively melted, the selectivity being achieved by applying absorbers, using

powder as claimed in at least one of claims 1 to 19.

24. A process for producing moldings via a layer-by-layer process in which regions of the respective
5 polymer powder layer are selectively melted, the selectivity being achieved via application of susceptors, using powder as claimed in at least one of claims 1 to 19.

10 25. A molding produced via one of the processes of claims 20 to 24,
which
comprises a thermoplastic random copolymer with an ISO 1133 MFR value of from 12 to 1 g/10 min.

15 26. The molding as claimed in claim 25,
which
comprises at least one copolyester.

20 27. The molding as claimed in claim 25 or 26,
which
comprises at least one copolyester containing at least one of the monomer units from the group of adipic acid, isophthalic acid, dimethyl phthalate, 1,4-butanediol,
25 1,6-hexanediol, polyethylene glycol.

28. The molding as claimed in claim 25,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide.

30 29. The molding as claimed in claim 25 or 28,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide containing at least one of the units from the group of the lactams, the
35 diamine/dicarboxylic acid salts, and/or the aminocarboxylic acids.

30. The molding as claimed in any of claims 25, 28 and

29,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide containing at least
one of the units from the group of laurolactam,
5 caprolactam, aminoundecanoic acid, and also containing
approximately equimolar amounts of the dicarboxylic
acids adipic acid, sorbic acid, azelaic acid, sebacic
acid, dodecanedioic acid, brassylic acid,
tetradecanedioic acid, pentadecanedioic acid,
10 octadecanedioic acid, terephthalic acid, isophthalic
acid, and of the diamines hexamethylenediamine, 2-
methylpentamethylenediamine, 2,2,4-
trimethylhexamethylenediamine, 2,4,4-tri-
methylhexamethylenediamine, isophoronediamine,
15 piperazine, bis(4-aminocyclohexyl)methane, or of the
nylon salts formed therefrom.

31. The molding as claimed in any of claims 25, 28 and
29,
20 which
comprises at least one copolyamide containing
caprolactam, laurolactam, and AH salt.

32. The molding as claimed in any of claims 25 and 28
25 to 30,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide containing
caprolactam, laurolactam, and DH salt.

30 33. The molding as claimed in any of claims 25 and 28
to 30,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide containing
caprolactam and laurolactam.

35 34. The molding as claimed in any of claims 25 and 28
to 33,
which

comprises at least one copolyamide, the DIN 53727 relative solution viscosity in m-cresol being from 1.55 to 1.9.

- 5 35. The molding as claimed in any of claims 25 and 28 to 34,
which
comprises at least one copolyamide, the DIN 53727 relative solution viscosity in m-cresol being from 1.6
10 to 1.7.

36. The molding as claimed in any of claims 25 to 35,
which
comprises auxiliaries and/or filler and/or pigments.

- 15 37. The molding as claimed in claim 36,
which
comprises flow aids as auxiliary.

- 20 38. The molding as claimed in claim 36,
which
comprises glass particles as filler.

- 25 39. The molding as claimed in claim 36,
which
comprises metal soaps as auxiliary.

40. A process as claimed in at least one of claims 20 to 24,
30 which comprises
processing the polymer powder at a construction chamber temperature of from 80 to 160°C.

- 35 41. A process as claimed in at least one of claims 20 to 24,
which comprises
processing the polymer powder at a construction chamber temperature of from 85 to 120°C.

Abstract:

The present invention relates to a polymer powder which comprises copolymer, and to the use of this powder for shaping
5 processes, and also to moldings produced from this polymer powder.

The shaping processes are layer-by-layer processes which use powders, regions of the respective layer being selectively
10 melted via unfocused introduction of electromagnetic energy. The selectivity of the energy introduction process can - but without any intention of restricting the invention thereto - be achieved via masks, via application of inhibitors, or of absorbers or of susceptors. The inventive powder is preferably
15 used in processes in which selectivity is achieved via application of inhibitors or of susceptors, or via a masking method. After cooling, the moldings, now solidified, can be removed from the powder bed.

20 By way of contrast with moldings made from conventional powders, the properties of the components consisting of moldings constructed by the inventive processes, using the inventive powder, and particularly the mechanical and thermal properties of the components, can be varied widely, depending
25 on the composition. In particular in the case of amorphous copolymers, well-judged selection of comonomers can optimize flow behavior for the shaping process.

Another advantage is that processing can also be simplified by
30 using the correct composition of the copolymer. In particular, processing at relatively low temperatures is possible, simplifying temperature control and accelerating the process. The slower crystallization of semicrystalline copolymers, when compared with semicrystalline homopolymers, promotes the
35 coalescence of the molten particles and leads to better shrinkage behavior in the moldings.